




What's the **BEST** managed  
futures program?



# Semi-Annual Managed Futures Rankings

(updated **April 2018**)

318 W Adams St. 10th FL | Chicago, IL 60606 | 855-726-0060  
[www.rcmalternatives.com](http://www.rcmalternatives.com) | [invest@rcmam.com](mailto:invest@rcmam.com)

# How We Rank Them

What's the BEST managed futures program? That's a tricky one. Do you mean: Best last year? Best for all time? Best risk-adjusted return? Best in terms of lowest drawdowns?

We can all see which programs logged the highest return last year, or has the most assets, or sports the largest total lifetime return. But investors care about more than just returns. There's risk and exposure and pedigree and all the rest to consider, making the question of rankings and who's the best a truly investor-specific endeavor. The real question should be, who's the best *for me*?

RCM Alternatives has been applying its due diligence on managers and programs in the space for more than a decade. [For five years in a row](#), we've been selected as the best introducing broker in the Managed Futures space, in part because we've dedicated extensive resources to analyzing and testing a rankings system that would best reflect what we believe to be the important metrics for measuring skill in this investment space.

Our rankings start by filtering the BarclayHedge database to a smaller subset of managers which have at least 36 months of track record, and are investable (no pro-forma or prop account records, for example; or currency traders using Turkish banks).

We then measure the programs across different metrics related to return (like compound RoR, Omega

ratios), risk (like lowest 3yr return, average drawdown), correlation levels, and length of track record. Next, we time-weight the numerous statistics, evaluating each metric across 1, 3, 5, and 10 year time periods in addition to the full length of the program since its inception. This focus on varying time frames ensures that great returns far back in a program's track record don't skew their ranking, and, likewise, that newer programs that haven't "lived through tough times" don't dominate the rankings.

It is important to remember that these rankings are backwards looking, being generated off the monthly returns and other profile information of hundreds of CTA programs, and, as such, are not meant to necessarily predict who will be winning tomorrow. As the old saying goes – past performance (and risk, and correlation, and all the rest) is not necessarily indicative of future results.

P.S. – We've added a "Liquid Alts" category to include Managed Futures Mutual Funds, although the number of them reporting to investment databases outside of Morningstar aren't quite where we'd like to see them yet.

## ABOUT RCM: WHAT WE DO



RESEARCH & EDUCATE



SCOUT TALENT



CUSTOM PRODUCTS



TAILOR PORTFOLIOS

## TOP FIVE PROGRAMS - LARGE MANAGERS

### More than \$100 Million in Assets Under Management

[>Click on manager to view in RCM database](#)

On to the rankings... where we start at the top of the food chain: the large managers. Many institutional investors won't even look at a program with less than \$100 million in assets under management, as that level is often perceived (rightly or wrongly) as an indicator of the business being operationally sound enough, successful enough, and with enough of a pedigree to remove nonperformance related risks.

And to be fair, programs don't grow that large without some pretty impressive statistics to their name. Of course, it's not all that easy to access these large managers, with managed account minimums reaching into the tens of millions.

Using our overall ratings, here are the top rated CTAs with more than \$100 million in assets under management:

---

Past Performance is Not Necessarily  
Indicative of Future Results

'Compound ROR' is the annual rate of return which, if compounded since the program's inception, would equal the cumulative gain over that period. 'Max DD' is the worst peak to valley loss incurred since inception, on a month end basis. 'Min Investment' amounts are listed in thousands of dollars ('k') or millions of dollars ('mm'). Data through March '17

1. Man AHL	
AHL Evolution (QEP)	
Compound ROR	Max DD
13.65%	-13.15%
Min Investment	Inception
\$1mm	2005

2. PIMCO LLC	
Commodity Alpha Fund (QEP)	
Compound ROR	Max DD
11.84%	-2.78%
Min Investment	Inception
\$5mm	2013

3. FORT	
Global Diversified	
Compound ROR	Max DD
14.55%	-26.55%
Min Investment	Inception
\$2mm	1993

4. Quantitative Investment Mgmt.	
Global Program (QEP)	
Compound ROR	Max DD
8.92%	-16.63%
Min Investment	Inception
\$20mm	2003

5. Gamma O.	
Commodity (QEP)	
Compound ROR	Max DD
6.14%	-15.78%
Min Investment	Inception
\$5mm	2011

## TOP FIVE PROGRAMS - SMALL MANAGERS

### Less than \$100 Million in Assets Under Management

>Click on manager to view in RCM database

Don't be afraid to look for some hidden gems in smaller managers:

These are the five highest ranked programs per our ranking formula which have less than \$100 million under management.

As we've stated before, bigger isn't always better in the CTA industry, as the larger they get, the more apt they are to see returns diminish.

Moreover, larger managers aren't able to access certain markets like grains in a meaningful way.

Smaller managers can provide lower minimum investment opportunities and be more nimble in more markets (though that doesn't guarantee better performance - losses are always a possibility).

The trick is finding smaller managers that are as operationally sound as the big guys, or close enough to it.

---

Past Performance is Not Necessarily Indicative of Future Results

'Compound ROR' is the annual rate of return which, if compounded since the program's inception, would equal the cumulative gain over that period. 'Max DD' is the worst peak to valley loss incurred since inception, on a month end basis. 'Min Investment' amounts are listed in thousands of dollars ('k') or millions of dollars ('mm'). Data through March '17

#### 1. Evergreen Commodity Advisors

Compound ROR	Max DD
18.93%	-8.37%
Min Investment	Inception
\$100k	2015

#### 2. NuWave Investment Mgmt.

Commodity Value Portfolio (OEI)

Compound ROR	Max DD
6.93%	-1.54%
Min Investment	Inception
\$5mm	2014

#### 3. Tanyard Creek Capital, LLC

Livestock (OEI)

Compound ROR	Max DD
18.48%	-14.17%
Min Investment	Inception
\$200k	2008

#### 4. Four Seasons Commodity Corp.

Hawkeye Spread

Compound ROR	Max DD
8.72%	-12.48%
Min Investment	Inception
\$500k	2005

#### 5. Goldman Mgmt., Inc.

Navigator (OEI)

Compound ROR	Max DD
10.00%	-7.15%
Min Investment	Inception
\$200K	2011

## TOP FIVE PROGRAMS - RISK CONTROL

### Highest Rank Across Risk Metrics

#### Let's talk risk control!

Returns are nice, but no good to many if they must live through a massive drawdown or hugely volatile months to get to them.

Sophisticated investors want to know the program isn't just blindly pursuing profits and that risk control is a priority.

The following managers have excelled in their dedication to measuring and responding to risk and volatility, something truly put to the test in the beginning of 2018 with a spike in volatility testing program's risk controls in a way not seen in years.

These are the five highest ranked programs based on our analysis of the different risk factors we use in our ranking formula, including: a time-weighted Max DD, which looks at drawdowns across the past 1, 3, 5, 10 year periods and worst three-year return.

#### Past Performance is Not Necessarily Indicative of Future Results

'Compound ROR' is the annual rate of return which, if compounded since the program's inception, would equal the cumulative gain over that period. 'Max DD' is the worst peak to valley loss incurred since inception, on a month end basis. 'Min Investment' amounts are listed in thousands of dollars ('k') or millions of dollars ('mm'). Data through March '17

[Click on manager to view in RCM database](#)

#### 1. PIMCO, LLC

Commodity Alpha Fund (QEP)

Compound ROR	Max DD
11.84%	-2.78%
Min Investment	Inception
\$5mm	2013

#### 2. Bluegrass Capital Mgmt.

Cross Market Bias (QEP)

Compound ROR	Max DD
4.17%	-0.93%
Min Investment	Inception
\$3mm	2014

#### 3. NuWave Investment Mgmt. LLC

Commodity Value Portfolio (QEP)

Compound ROR	Max DD
6.93%	-1.54%
Min Investment	Inception
\$5mm	2014

#### 4. Jaguar Investments Limited

ULTR0 Capital Strategy (QEP)

Compound ROR	Max DD
5.61%	-2.02%
Min Investment	Inception
\$1mm	2012

#### 5. NickJen Capital Mgmt. & Consultants

NRD1 (QEP)

Compound ROR	Max DD
2.93%	-5.46%
Min Investment	Inception
\$1mm	2008

## TOP FIVE PROGRAMS - RETURN FOCUS

### Highest Rank Across Return Metrics

#### Show me the money!

Many investors looking at alternatives do so with an eye towards the larger returns possible in the space.

Those larger returns come with larger risks, to be sure, but some investors are willing to accept the higher risk in exchange for the potential of double digit returns.

For those investors who are willing to take on some more risk for a higher return number, we look here at only the reward metrics in our model.

The reward metrics include the Omega ratio and the time weighted compound return. These are the five highest ranked programs based on our reward metrics.

---

Past Performance is Not Necessarily Indicative of Future Results

'Compound ROR' is the annual rate of return which, if compounded since the program's inception, would equal the cumulative gain over that period. 'Max DD' is the worst peak to valley loss incurred since inception, on a month end basis. 'Min Investment' amounts are listed in thousands of dollars ('k') or millions of dollars ('mm'). Data through March '17

>Click on manager to view in RCM database

#### 1. Quantitative Investment Mgmt.

Global Fund (3X) (QEP)

Compound ROR	Max DD
20.30%	-44.73%
Min Investment	Inception
\$1mm	2003

#### 2. Man AHL

AHL Evolution (QEP)

Compound ROR	Max DD
13.65%	-13.15%
Min Investment	Inception
\$1mm	2005

#### 3. J E Moody & Company, LLC

Commodity Relative Value 3X (QEP)

Compound ROR	Max DD
14.70%	-18.84%
Min Investment	Inception
\$100K	2006

#### 4. Quest Partners

AlphaQuest Original - AQO (QEP)

Compound ROR	Max DD
11.44%	-29.39%
Min Investment	Inception
\$250k	1999

#### 5. Crabel Capital Mgmt.

Crabel Multi-Product 1.5X AA (QEP)

Compound ROR	Max DD
13.14%	-24.48%
Min Investment	Inception
\$1mm	1998



## TOP FIVE PROGRAMS - RISK ADJUSTED

### Reward & Risk

#### Walk and chew gum...

Of course, most investors can walk and chew gum at the same time – meaning they want both returns and risk control. They want the best of both worlds. Risk-Adjusted Performance ratios such as the Sortino attempt to measure how much return a manager makes per unit of risk. They are useful for comparing different managers with different risk profiles to help ascertain what's better: a 40% return with a 30% drawdown or a 15% return with a 10% drawdown.

The rankings on this page measure a manager's skill in producing returns in excess of the risk taken on, with risk equaling time-weighted drawdowns and the negative standard deviation of returns.

We deliberately avoid using the Sharpe ratio, which has known flaws, mainly that it considers volatility as the only aspect of risk.

#### Past Performance is Not Necessarily Indicative of Future Results

'Compound ROR' is the annual rate of return which, if compounded since the program's inception, would equal the cumulative gain over that period. 'Max DD' is the worst peak to valley loss incurred since inception, on a month end basis. 'Min Investment' amounts are listed in thousands of dollars ('k') or millions of dollars ('mm'). Data through March '17

[Click on manager to view in RCM database](#)

#### 1. PIMCO, LLC

Commodity Alpha Fund (QEP)

Compound ROR	Max DD
11.84%	-2.78%
Min Investment	Inception
\$5mm	2013

#### 2. NuWave Investment Mgmt., LLC

Commodity Value Portfolio (QEP)

Compound ROR	Max DD
6.93%	-1.54%
Min Investment	Inception
\$5mm	2014

#### 3. Man AHL

AHL Evolution (QEP)

Compound ROR	Max DD
13.65%	-13.15%
Min Investment	Inception
\$1mm	2005

#### 4. Goldman Mgmt., Inc.

Navigator Fund (QEP)

Compound ROR	Max DD
10.00%	-7.15%
Min Investment	Inception
\$200k	2011

#### 5. J E Moody & Company, LLC

Commodity Relative Value (QEP)

Compound ROR	Max DD
5.52%	-5.93%
Min Investment	Inception
\$100k	2006

## TOP FIVE PROGRAMS - LOW MINIMUM INVESTMENTS

### \$200k or Less Minimum

>Click on manager to view in RCM database

#### ***I'm not a Billionaire...Yet!***

All of these rankings are great, but do little good if they only identify programs with minimum investment amounts beyond what most investors can pony up.

For investors without private jets and 100 ft yachts, a reasonable minimum investment level may play a much larger role in their allocation decisions.

These are the five highest ranked programs, per our overall ranking formula, with minimum investments of \$200,000 or less, for those investors who might not have the checkbook to invest at some of the minimums in millions.

---

Past Performance is Not Necessarily Indicative of Future Results

'Compound ROR' is the annual rate of return which, if compounded since the program's inception, would equal the cumulative gain over that period. 'Max DD' is the worst peak to valley loss incurred since inception, on a month end basis. 'Min Investment' amounts are listed in thousands of dollars ('k') or millions of dollars ('mm'). Data through March '17

#### **1. Evergreen Commodity Advisors, LLC**

Compound ROR	Max DD
<b>18.93%</b>	<b>-8.37%</b>
Min Investment	Inception
<b>\$100k</b>	<b>2015</b>

#### **2. Tanyard Creek Capital, LLC**

Livestock (QEP)

Compound ROR	Max DD
<b>5.49%</b>	<b>-10.37%</b>
Min Investment	Inception
<b>\$200k</b>	<b>2008</b>

#### **3. Wharton Capital Mgmt., LLC**

Agricultural Futures (QEP)

Compound ROR	Max DD
<b>10.68%</b>	<b>-12.57%</b>
Min Investment	Inception
<b>\$100k</b>	<b>2011</b>

#### **4. Soaring Pelican LLC**

Diversified S&P (QEP)

Compound ROR	Max DD
<b>8.88%</b>	<b>-11.90%</b>
Min Investment	Inception
<b>\$100k</b>	<b>2011</b>

#### **5. Schindler Capital Mgmt., LLC**

Dairy Advantage

Compound ROR	Max DD
<b>34.31%</b>	<b>-22.33%</b>
Min Investment	Inception
<b>\$200k</b>	<b>2005</b>



## TOP FIVE PROGRAMS - OUR TOP RECOMMENDATIONS

### Programs we know *really* well

#### **You guys do this all the time... Who do you Like?**

No matter how good the performance or ranking, many investors won't invest with a manager until extensive due diligence has been completed.

That's where RCM comes in, performing 'real time due diligence' on top-ranked managers in addition to the dozens of managers our clients allocate to and have interest in allocating to.

After hours of research and daily monitoring of trades and positions, our list of programs we know really well represents those we believe have not only done well, but will continue to be some of the best in the industry at producing risk-controlled returns.

---

Past Performance is Not Necessarily  
Indicative of Future Results

'Compound ROR' is the annual rate of return which, if compounded since the program's inception, would equal the cumulative gain over that period. 'Max DD' is the worst peak to valley loss incurred since inception, on a month end basis. 'Min Investment' amounts are listed in thousands of dollars ('k') or millions of dollars ('mm'). Data through March '17

>Click on manager to view in RCM database

#### **1. Four Seasons Commodities Corp.**

Hawkeye Spread

Compound ROR	Max DD
8.72%	-12.48%
Min Investment	Inception
\$500k	2005

#### **2. Revolution Capital Mgmt.**

Alpha (QEP)

Compound ROR	Max DD
6.63%	-15.46%
Min Investment	Inception
\$5mm	2007

#### **3. Jaguar Investments Limited**

AEGIR (QEP)

Compound ROR	Max DD
14.98%	-6.31%
Min Investment	Inception
\$1mm	2012

#### **4. J E Moody & Company, LLC**

Commodity Relative Value 3X (QEP)

Compound ROR	Max DD
14.70%	-18.84%
Min Investment	Inception
\$100k	2006

#### **5. Portfolio Concept GmbH**

Global Systematic (QEP)

Compound ROR	Max DD
10.76%	-15.03%
Min Investment	Inception
\$1mm	2007

## TOP FIVE PROGRAMS - LIQUID ALTERNATIVES

## Managed Futures Mutual Funds

**Step into Liquid...**

The Liquid Alternative investment space has exploded over the past couple of years, deeming it necessary to include in our rankings.

Better known as Managed Futures Mutual Funds, these are programs which can be bought and sold based on a ticker symbol with lower investment minimums, but can come at the cost of not getting exactly the same type of market exposure other private funds in the rankings can offer.

These are the top five ranked funds amongst those Managed Futures Mutual Funds which report to BarclayHedge's database.

---

Past Performance is Not Necessarily Indicative of Future Results

'Compound ROR' is the annual rate of return which, if compounded since the program's inception, would equal the cumulative gain over that period. 'Max DD' is the worst peak to valley loss incurred since inception, on a month end basis. 'Min Investment' amounts are listed in thousands of dollars ('k') or millions of dollars ('mm'). Data through March '17

>Click on manager to view in RCM database

**1. Goldman Sachs Asset Mgmt., L.P.**

Managed Futures Strat Instl (GMSSX) (QEP)

Compound ROR	Max DD
1.94%	-12.59%
Min Investment	Inception
\$1mm	2012

**2. 361 Capital, LLC**

Managed Futures Strategy Fund (AMFZX) (QEP)

Compound ROR	Max DD
3.40%	-12.02%
Min Investment	Inception
\$2k	2014

**3. Altegris Advisors, LLC**

Futures Evolution Strategy Fund A (EVOAX) (QEP)

Compound ROR	Max DD
4.26%	-13.05%
Min Investment	Inception
\$2k	2011

**4. Equinox MutualHedge**

Futures Strategy Fund A (MHFAX) (QEP)

Compound ROR	Max DD
1.76%	-14.40%
Min Investment	Inception
\$3k	2010

**5. PIMCO, LLC**

Trends Managed Futures Strategy (PQTIX) (QEP)

Compound ROR	Max DD
2.84%	-18.11%
Min Investment	Inception
\$1mm	2014

## The Overall List

The Oscars don't end at the Best Adapted Screenplay award. The viewers want to know what the best picture overall was...and in that spirit, we've compiled our list of the Top 15 managed futures programs across our vetted universe of CTAs (300+ strong) using our unique ranking algorithm. We believe these programs have been the best available managed futures programs overall - having consistently outperformed their peers across a host of performance and risk metrics across multiple time frames.

They may not give you the highest returns in the coming year, or they may have a new max drawdown sooner rather than later; but we believe they will be more consistent and provide better risk-adjusted returns than the average CTA over the next 1 to 3 years.

[>Click on manager to view in RCM database](#)

TOP 15 OVERALL		Data through <b>March '18</b>		
	Firm (Program)	Minimum Investment	Compound ROR	MAX DD
1.	<b>Man AHL</b> AHL Evolution (QEP)	\$1mm	13.65%	-13.15%
2.	<b>PIMCO, LLC.</b> Commodity Alpha Fund (QEP)	\$5mm	11.84%	-2.78%
3.	<b>Evergreen Commodity Advisors, LLC</b>	\$100k	18.93%	-8.37%
4.	<b>FORT</b> Global Diversified	\$2mm	14.55%	-26.55%
5.	<b>Quantitative Investment Mgmt.</b> Global Program (QEP)	\$20mm	8.92%	-16.63%
6.	<b>Man AHL</b> AHL Alpha (QEP)	\$1mm	13.65%	-13.15%
7.	<b>NuWave Investment Mgmt., LLC</b> Commodity Value Portfolio (QEP)	\$5mm	6.93%	-1.54%
8.	<b>Tanyard Creek Capital</b> Livestock	\$200k	18.48%	-14.17%
9.	<b>Gamma Q.</b> Commodity (QEP)	\$5mm	6.14%	-15.78%
10.	<b>IPM</b> Systematic Macro (QEP)	\$5mm	8.39%	-12.22%
11.	<b>ADG Capital Mgmt., LLP</b> ADG Systematic Macro (QEP)	\$1mm	9.53%	-6.87%
12.	<b>Four Seasons Commodities Corp.</b> Hawkeye Spread	\$500k	8.72%	-12.48%
13.	<b>Goldman Mgmt., Inc.</b> Navigator Fund (QEP)	\$200k	10.00%	-7.15%
14.	<b>J E Moody &amp; Company LLC</b> Commodity Relative Value 3X (QEP)	\$100k	14.70%	-18.84%
15.	<b>Jaguar Investments Limited</b> ULTRO Capital Strategy (QEP)	\$1mm	5.61%	-1.48%

## Disclaimer

"QEP" programs are only available to qualified eligible persons, as that term is defined by CFTC regulation 4.7.

The information contained in this report is intended for informational purposes only. While the information and statistics given are believed to be complete and accurate, we cannot guarantee their completeness or accuracy. RCM Alternatives has not verified the completeness or accuracy of any of the information and statistics provided by third parties.

As past performance does not guarantee future results, these results may have no bearing on, and may not be indicative of, any individual returns realized through participation in this or any other investment. The risk of loss in trading commodity futures, whether on one's own or through a managed account, can be substantial. You should therefore carefully consider whether such trading is suitable for you in light of your financial condition. You may sustain a total loss of the initial margin funds and any additional funds that you deposit with your broker to establish or maintain a position in the commodity futures market. Any specific investment or investment service contained or referred to in this report may not be suitable for all investors. You should not rely on any of the information as a substitute for the exercise of your own skill and judgment in making such a decision on the appropriateness of such investments. Finally, the ability to withstand losses and to adhere to a particular trading program in spite of trading losses are material points which can adversely affect investor performance.

We recommend investors visit the Commodity Futures Trading Commission ("CFTC") website at the following address before trading: <http://www.cftc.gov/cftc/cftcbeforetrade.htm>

Managed futures accounts can subject to substantial charges for management and advisory fees. The numbers within this website include all such fees, but it may be necessary for those accounts that are subject to these charges to make substantial trading profits in the future to avoid depletion or exhaustion of their assets.

Investors interested in investing with a managed futures program (excepting those programs which are offered exclusively to qualified eligible persons as that term is defined by CFTC regulation 4.7) will be required to receive and sign off on a disclosure document in compliance with certain CFTC rules. The disclosure document contains a complete description of the principal risk factors and each fee to be charged to your account by the CTA, as well as the composite performance of accounts under the CTA's management over at least the most recent five years. Investors interested in investing in any of the programs on this website are urged to carefully read these disclosure documents, including, but not limited to the performance information, before investing in any such programs.

Those investors who are qualified eligible persons, as that term is defined by CFTC regulation 4.7, and interested in investing in a program exempt from having to provide a disclosure document, are considered by the regulations to be sophisticated enough to understand the risks and be able to interpret the accuracy and completeness of any performance information on their own.

RCM Alternatives ("RCM") receives a portion of the commodity brokerage commissions you pay in connection with your futures trading and/or a portion of the interest income (if any) earned on an account's assets. CTAs may also pay RCM a portion of the fees they receive from accounts introduced to them by RCM.

RCM Alternatives is a registered 'DBA' of Reliance Capital Markets II LLC.



## ABOUT RCM

RCM Alternatives pairs high net worth individuals, financial advisors, and institutional investors with commodities based alternatives investments through mutual funds, managed accounts, and private funds. Our clients and team currently allocate to 50+ managers with combined assets in the billions.



## OUR PHILOSOPHY

We believe alternative investments can provide needed diversification and return amplification, but that not all alternatives are up to the task, with better results achieved through allocating to multiple "right-sized" managers with meaningful commodity exposure across different markets, trading strategies, and time frames.



## FINDING UNIQUE TALENT

We help institutional investors scour the world of alternative investment opportunities to identify those with robust, consistent performance, sophisticated risk management processes, and well developed operational infrastructure.

## How We Work

We eschew a "one size fits all" approach for a consultative approach where we work with clients to find solutions that work for them. This allows for a more customized and cost efficient exposure to alternatives with the ability to mix and match funds to meet your specific risk and reward parameters.



### IDENTIFY MATCHING TALENT

Absolute or crisis performance, active management or passive replication, our buy lists are targeted and refined to show only managers fitting investors unique allocation profile.



### CLEARING RELATIONSHIPS

Our team simplifies clearing, syncing your needs with the abilities of multiple FCMs to create a custom, cost effective solution with a single point of contact at RCM to manage it all.



### STRUCTURE INVESTMENT ACCESS

From dedicated managed accounts to platform access, we work with investors to access the investment in the most efficient way for them.



### PERFORMANCE & RISK REPORTING

Whether standalone software, or reporting to your custodians or admins, we work with clients to provide real time risk and return metrics.

Trading futures, options on futures, retail off-exchange foreign currency transactions ("Forex"), investing in managed futures and other alternative investments are complex and carry a risk of substantial losses. As such, they are not suitable for all investors. Past performance is not necessarily indicative of future results.

RCM Alternatives is a registered dba of Reliance Capital Markets II LLC. Copyright © 2018





318 W Adams St. 10th FL  
Chicago, IL 60606  
855-726-0060

[www.rcmalternatives.com](http://www.rcmalternatives.com)  
[invest@rcmam.com](mailto:invest@rcmam.com)